

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,575		12/03/2001	Peter Raymond Ball	1267.1024	9631
21171	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
-	HALSE	Y LLP	LEE, DAVID J		
SUITE 70 1201 NEV	_	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	GTON, DO		2633		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. O95914,575 BALL ET AL. Examiner David Lee 2633								
Examiner David Lee 2833 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely rited after SIX (9) MONTHS from he emiling date of this communication. If the period for reply searched above is less than they (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing's (20) days, while be considered dimaly. If the period for reply searched above is less than they (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing's (20) days, while the considered dimaly. If the period for reply searched above is less than they (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing's (20) days will be considered dimaly. Fallet or revery within the stat or extended seriod for reply will by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office bater has three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fleet, may reduce any seared glanter than adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Seponstive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The provided rewind the provided provided to the provided provided to the provided provided provided provided provided provided provided provided provided	Office Action Summary			Applicant(s)				
David Lee 2633 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exequence of the major by a wall-balled used the provision of 30°CR1 136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fred the product for reply is specified above, the maximum of 30°CR1 136(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fred the product for reply is specified above, the maximum of 30°CR1 136(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fred the product for reply is specified above, the maximum of 30°CR1 136(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fred the product for reply is produced above, the maximum statushory period wall apply and will explain (50°) how the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will be status that the product of reply is produced by the finite date between the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply will be status that the product of the product of the communication and plaintent. Set 97°CR1 1744(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 24 January 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-28 is/are rejected to explain the application paper. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by			09/914,575	BALL ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.186g.). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SN (6) MONTH form the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than the 700 (3) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than the 700 (3) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than the 700 (3) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than the 700 (3) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office laber than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2005. 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in absyance. See 37 CFR 1.186(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37			Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$X\$ (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$X\$ (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$X\$ (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to become ABANDADE (03 U.S.C. \$ 1193). Any reply received by the Office later han three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any examed patient term adjustment. Set \$X\$ CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 24 January 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the pri			.1					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waitable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinly (2d) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinly (3d) days will be considered fimely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mainthum statutory period will apply and will expire 30X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 24 January 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.27 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.27 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.27 is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * ○ □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2005. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	anuary 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·	<u> </u>						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims		·				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicati	on Papers		,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Attachment(s)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate				

Art Unit: 2633

,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8-9, 11-15, 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wright et al. (US Patent No. 6,411,410).

Regarding claims 1, 19, and 27, Wright discloses an optical network comprising: a plurality of optical network units (fig. 2, 14_1 - 14_5); and an optical source connected and arranged to transmit light signals to each of said plurality of optical network units (fig. 2, 12); wherein said optical source is capable of transmitting light signals at one or more of a plurality of different wavelengths (col. 2, lines 21-22), each optical network unit is preconfigured (col. 2, lines 25-33: the control information configures each optical network unit to accept a subset of wavelengths; col. 3, lines 7-17: the preconfiguration information is stored in a storing means) to accept a predetermined subset of more than one of said wavelengths (col. 2, lines 26-28 and λ_1 , λ_2 of fig. 2), and each wavelength of said plurality is accepted by a predetermined different subset of optical network units (col. 2, lines 26-28), the optical network further comprising: control circuitry (fig. 2, 12) operable to cause said optical source to transmit light signals at one or more selected such wavelengths corresponding to respective desired subsets of said optical network units and further operable to effect a requested bandwidth redistribution by changing

Art Unit: 2633

said one or more wavelengths selected for transmission to one or more different wavelengths corresponding to one or more different desired subsets of optical network units (col. 2, lines 26-28 and col. 2, lines 33-35, and col. 2, lines 36-46).

Regarding claims 2 and 20, Wright discloses that the control circuitry (fig. 2, 12) is operable to cause said optical source to transmit light signals at two or more selected wavelengths (col. 6, lines 17-22) corresponding to two or more desired subsets (i.e.-subset 14₁-14₂ and subset 14₃-14₅) of said optical network units.

Regarding claims 3 and 21, Wright discloses two or more desired subsets together include all of said optical network units (i.e.- the subset 14_{1} - 14_{2} and the subset 14_{3} - 14_{5} include all of the ONUs).

Regarding claim 4, Wright discloses that each of said optical network units is operable to accept more than one of said plurality of wavelengths transmitted by the optical source (in figure 2, each of the ONUs accepts more than one wavelength $\lambda 1$ and $\lambda 2$).

Regarding claim 8, Wright discloses that at least one of the optical network units (fig. 7, 14) comprises a filter (fig. 7, 42), which passes only those wavelengths that are to be accepted by that optical network unit, and a receiver (fig. 7, 44), which responds to light energy which is passed by the filter (col. 9, line 61 to col. 10, line 4)

Regarding claim 9, Wright discloses that the filter comprises a fixed filter (fig. 15, 50 and col. 14, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 11, Wright discloses that the filter comprises a tunable filter (fig. 7, 42 and col. 9, lines 53-55).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 12, Wright discloses that the filter comprises a wavelength division demultiplexer which splits the incoming signal into various wavelengths, and wherein only those wavelengths which are to be passed by the filter are connected to the receiver (col. 9, lines 61-67).

Regarding claims 13 and 22, Wright discloses that a particular optical network unit (i.e.- 14₁) is not included in more than one of the two or more desired subsets (i.e.-subset 14₁-14₂ and subset 14₃-14₅) of said optical network units (therefore, 14₁ is only included in one subset).

Regarding claim 14, Wright discloses that the network is a passive optical network (col. 2, 24-25).

Regarding claim 15, Wright discloses that the signals transmitted from the optical source to an optical network unit are carried by optical fibers (fig. 2, 8 and col. 6, 17).

Regarding claim 23, Wright discloses control circuitry for use in an optical network (fig. 16, 261), which network comprises a plurality of optical network units (fig. 2, 14₁-14₅) and an optical source connected and arranged to transmit light signals to each of said plurality of optical network units (fig. 2, 12), said optical source being capable of transmitting light signals at one or more of a plurality of different wavelengths (col. 2, lines 21-22), each optical network unit being pre-configured to accept a predetermined different subset of said wavelengths (col. 2, lines 26-28), and each wavelength of said plurality being accepted by a predetermined different subset of optical network units (col. 2, lines 26-28), the control circuitry being operable to cause said optical source to transmit light signals at one or more selected such wavelengths

Art Unit: 2633

corresponding to respective desired subsets of said optical network units and further being operable to effect a requested bandwidth redistribution by changing said one or more wavelengths selected for transmission to one or more different wavelengths corresponding to one or more different desired subsets of optical network units (col. 2, lines 26-28 and col. 2, lines 33-35, and col. 2, lines 36-46).

Regarding claim 24, Wright discloses that the light signals are transmitted by said optical source at two or more wavelengths (col. 2, lines 21-22), selected from said plurality of wavelengths, corresponding to two or more desired subsets of optical network units (i.e.- subset 14₁-14₂ and subset 14₃-14₅).

Regarding claim 25, Wright discloses that two or more desired subsets together include all of said plurality of optical network units (i.e.- the subset 14₁-14₂ and the subset 14₃-14₅ include all of the ONUs).

Regarding claim 26, Wright discloses that a particular optical network unit (i.e.- 14_1) is not included in more than one of the two or more desired subsets (i.e.- subset 14_1 - 14_2 and subset 14_3 - 14_5) of said optical network units (therefore, 14_1 is only included in one subset).

3. Claims 1-7, 13-15, 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Darcie et al. (US Patent No. 5,815,295).

Regarding claims 1, 19, 23, and 27, Darcie discloses an optical network comprising: a plurality of optical network units (fig. 1, 100-400); and an optical source connected and arranged to transmit light signals to each of said plurality of optical

Art Unit: 2633

network units (fig. 1, 20); wherein said optical source is capable of transmitting light signals at one or more of a plurality of different wavelengths (fig. 2, transmitter 20 transmits λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , λ_4), each optical network unit is preconfigured to accept a predetermined subset of more than one of said wavelengths (col. 5, lines 31-35), and each wavelength of said plurality is accepted by a predetermined different subset of optical network units (ONU 100 accepts λ_1 , ONU 200 accepts λ_2 and so on), the optical network further comprising: control circuitry operable to cause said optical source to transmit light signals at one or more selected such wavelengths corresponding to respective desired subsets of said optical network units and further operable to effect a requested bandwidth redistribution by changing said one or more wavelengths selected for transmission to one or more different wavelengths corresponding to one or more different desired subsets of optical network units (referring to col. 4, lines 59-67; the sequencer 30 causes the optical source 20 to transmit selected wavelengths to respective ONUs; referring to col. 5, lines 23-30; bandwidth redistribution is accomplished in that the time slots can be any length which can provide different wavelengths to correspond to different ONUs, and bandwidth can also be adjusted by employing different data rates at each of the different time slots).

Regarding claims 2, 20, and 24, Darcie discloses that the control circuitry is operable to cause said optical source to transmit light signals at two or more selected wavelengths (fig. 1, λ_1 - λ_4) corresponding to two or more desired subsets of said optical network units (i.e.- from fig 1, ONU 100 and ONU 200 can be considered a subset and ONU 300 and ONU 400 can be considered another subset).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claims 3, 21, and 25, Darcie discloses that two or more desired subsets together include all of said optical network units (the two subsets as considered above contain all of the ONUs).

Regarding claim 4, Darcie discloses that each of the optical network units is operable to accept more than one of said plurality of wavelengths transmitted by the optical source (col. 5, lines 33-35).

Regarding claim 5, Darcie discloses that the optical source comprises a plurality of fixed wavelength lasers, each laser being operable to transmit at one of said plurality of wavelengths (col. 4, lines 56-57).

Regarding claim 6, Darcie discloses that the optical source comprises one or more tunable lasers (col. 4, line 56).

Regarding claim 7, Darcie discloses that the number of tunable lasers is equal to the number of desired subsets of optical network units (col. 4, line 56 and col. 1, lines 44-45: Darcie discloses that each of the different wavelength signals (λ_1 , λ_2 , etc) is generated from a different laser, so if the ONUs that accept λ_1 is considered a subset, then each subset will have its own laser, and therefore, the number of subsets will equal the number of lasers).

Regarding claims 13, 22, and 26, Darcie discloses that a particular optical network unit (i.e.- ONU 100 of fig. 1) is not included in more than one of the two or more desired subsets of said optical network units (100 and 200 is a subset and 300 and 400 is another subset, therefore, 100 is included in only one of the desired subsets).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 14, Darcie discloses that the network is a passive optical network (col. 3, lines 54-55).

Regarding claim 15, Darcie discloses that the signals transmitted from the optical source to an optical network unit are carried by optical fibers (fig. 1, 11, and col. 4, line 17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 10-11, 13, 15-16, and 18-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chawki et al. (US Patent No. 5,745,269) in view of Darcie.

Regarding claims 1, 19, 23, and 27, Chawki discloses an optical network comprising: a plurality of optical network units (fig. 3, S1-S4); and an optical source connected and arranged to transmit light signals to each of said plurality of optical network units (fig. 3, T or Central Station); wherein said optical source is capable of transmitting light signals at one or more of a plurality of different wavelengths (fig. 3, λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , λ_4), each optical network unit is preconfigured (col. 2, lines 39-45 and lines 53-57) to accept a predetermined subset said wavelengths, and each wavelength of said plurality is accepted by a predetermined different subset of optical network units (col. 2, lines 39-43, and col. 2, lines 53-58: the optical source T configures each ONU to accept

Art Unit: 2633

predetermined wavelengths), the optical network further comprising: control circuitry operable to cause said optical source to transmit light signals at one or more selected such wavelengths corresponding to respective desired subsets of said optical network units (the optical source T is operable to transmit wavelengths to predetermined ONUs, col. 2, lines 39-43), and further operable to effect a requested bandwidth redistribution by changing said one or more wavelengths selected for transmission to one or more different wavelengths corresponding to one or more different desired subsets of optical network units (col. 3, lines 14-15; since each ONU that accepts a predetermined wavelength is tunable according to the density of traffic, it is inherent that the central station T initiates bandwidth redistribution by changing the wavelengths to different ONUs to administer the density of traffic). Chawki does not expressly disclose that the ONUs can accept more than one wavelength. However, it is well known in the art to configure ONUs to accept more than one wavelength. For example, Darcie, from a similar field of endeavor, discloses a WDM system with ONUs that can accept more than one wavelength (col. 5, lines 33-35). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to configure each ONU to accept more than one wavelength in order to provide greater flexibility in distributing services to customer terminals (col. 5, lines 38-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to configure the ONUs to accept more than one wavelength.

Regarding claims 2, 20, and 24, Chawki discloses that the control circuitry is operable to cause said optical source to transmit light signals at two or more selected wavelengths (fig. 3, λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , λ_4), corresponding to two or more desired subsets of said

Art Unit: 2633

optical network units (i.e.- fig. 3, S1 and S2 is considered one subset, and S3 and S4 is considered another subset).

Regarding claims 3, 21, and 25, Chawki discloses two or more desired subsets together include all of said optical network units (as in previous paragraph, the two subsets include all of the ONUs S1-S4).

Regarding claims 13, 22, and 26, Chawki discloses that a particular optical network unit (i.e.- S1 of fig. 3) is not included in more than one of the two or more desired subsets of said optical network units (S1 and S2 is a subset and S3 and S4 is another subset, therefore, S1 is included in only one of the desired subsets).

Regarding claims 5, Chawki discloses that the optical source comprises a plurality of fixed wavelength lasers, each laser being operable to transmit at one of said plurality of wavelengths (col. 5, lines 8-10 and fig. 3, λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , λ_4).

Regarding claims 10, Chawki discloses that filter comprises a Fabry-Perot filter (col. 5, lines 20-21).

Regarding claims 11, Chawki discloses that filter comprises a tunable filter (col. 5, lines 20-21).

Regarding claims 15, Chawki discloses that the signals transmitted from the optical source to an optical network unit are carried by optical fibers (fig. 3, F1, F2).

Regarding claims 16, Chawki discloses n optical network as claimed in claim 1 as applied to an optical ring architecture (fig. 1).

Regarding claims 18, Chawki discloses that the optical source is located within one of the optical network units (col. 5, lines 31-32: each ONU has its own optical source that transmits wavelengths that are distinct from each other.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chawki in view of Darcie and in further view of Asano (US Patent No. 6,032,185).

The combined invention Chawki and Darcie discloses all of the limitations of claim 17 as stated above except for the limitation that the optical network is applied to a bus architecture. Asano, from a similar field of endeavor, discloses an optical network utilizing a bus architecture (fig. 1). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to use a bus architecture because they consist of point-to-point links and they provide considerable configurational flexibility and as a result, terminals can be added to the network or moved to different locations without major revisions in the cabling layout. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the bus architecture of Asano to the optical network of Chawki and Darcie.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Wright does not disclose that the ONUs are *preconfigured* to accept a predetermined subset of wavelengths. Examiner disagrees. The ONUs are

Application/Control Number: 09/914,575 Page 12

Art Unit: 2633

preconfigured by control information sent from the transmitter to accept/select wavelengths (col. 2, lines 26-28), and the wavelength selection data for the ONUs are pre-configured through storing wavelength-selection data in a storing means (col. 3, lines 7-17).

Applicant argues that Darcie does not disclose that the ONUs are preconfigured to accept a predetermined subset of more than one wavelength. Instead, applicant asserts that Darcie teaches that each ONU only accepts a single fixed wavelength. Examiner disagrees. In column 5, lines 31-43, Darcie clearly discloses that an ONU can be configured to accept a predetermined subset of more than one wavelength, and that a greater flexibility in distributing services is achieved when done so.

Applicant argues that Chawki does not disclose that the ONUs are *preconfigured* to accept a predetermined subset of wavelengths. Examiner disagrees. Chawki discloses that the ONUs are preconfigured to accept a given wavelength λ_j on the basis of a management information element (col. 2, lines 39-45 and lines 53-57).

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15-16, and 18-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/914,575 Page 13

Art Unit: 2633

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2220. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. R. SEDIGHIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

M. Sidishian